**SSU Celebration of Scholarship Student Poster Evaluation Form**

**Presenter’s Name(s):** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Session Number:** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Mentor Name:** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Rate the presenter on each of the criteria using the following rubric:

0 = very poor

2 = poor (well below average; substantial improvements needed)

4= fair (average; several improvements required)

6= good (slightly above average; small number of improvement needed)

8 = excellent (far above average; room for minor improvements)

10= superior

**Criteria:**

Introduction/main research topic and reason for scholarly activity

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Creative/intellectual merit clearly articulated

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Methods/results/conclusions clearly articulated

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Flow of information logical, organized presentation of material

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Poster is visually attractive and lures the audience to read on

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Creative/intellectual merit and appeal to audience present

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Presentation of material appropriate for an interdisciplinary audience

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Quality and use of visual aids\*

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

\*If a student presentation does not include visual aids beyond the poster do not rate this criterion and do not take this criterion into account when calculating the average score

*Average Score = total score \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ / # of criteria \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ = \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_*